ON A GENERALIZED PUNCTURED NEIGHBORHOOD THEOREM IN $\mathcal{L}(X)$

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Suppose that T is a bounded linear operator on a complex Banach space X. If $T^2(X)$ is closed, $T(X) \cap N(T)$ is finite dimensional, and S is a bounded linear operator on X such that S is invertible, commutes with T, and has sufficiently small norm, then T - S is upper semi-Fredholm.

Throughout this paper X will denote a complex Banach space. We write $\mathscr{L}(X)$ for the set of all bounded linear operators on X. For $T \in \mathscr{L}(X)$, we denote by N(T) the kernel and by T(X) the range of T. The operator T is called *upper semi-Fredholm* if T(X) is closed and dim $N(T) < \infty$. We write $\sigma(T)$ for the spectrum of T. It is well known that the resolvent $R_{\lambda}(T) = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ is a holomorphic function of λ for points λ in the resolvent set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$.

The aim of this paper is the following generalization of the "punctured neighborhood theorem" for upper semi-Fredholm operators:

Theorem 1. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, T^2 has closed range, and $T(X) \cap N(T)$ is finite dimensional. Then:

(a) T - S is upper semi-Fredholm whenever $S \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ is invertible, TS = ST, and ||S|| is sufficiently small. Furthermore, we have

$$\dim N(T-S) = \dim \left(N(T) \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X) \right) \,.$$

(b) If 0 is a boundary point of $\sigma(T)$, then 0 is a pole of the resolvent of T.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need some additional notation and a preliminary lemma.

Let $T \in \mathscr{L}(X)$. We write $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ for dim N(T) and codim T(X), respectively. The operator T is called *lower semi-Fredholm* if $\beta(T)$ is finite (in this case T has closed range, by [4, Satz 55.4]). T is called *semi-Fredholm* if T is upper or lower semi-Fredholm. T is *Fredholm* if both $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are finite. The *index* of a semi-Fredholm operator T is defined by

$$\operatorname{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T).$$

Received by the editors November 9, 1992 and, in revised form, August 2, 1993.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A10, 47A53, 47A55.

Key words and phrases. Semi-Fredholm operator, spectrum, essential spectrum.

For $T \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ we define the number $k_n(T)$ by

$$k_n(T) = \dim((N(T) \cap T^n(X))/(N(T) \cap T^{n+1}(X))) \qquad (n \ge 0).$$

We say that T has uniform descent for $n \ge d$ if $k_n(T) = 0$ for $n \ge d$. This notion is due to Grabiner (see [3, Definition 1.3 and Lemma 2.3]). If Thas uniform descent for $n \ge d$ and if $T^n(X)$ is closed in the operator range topology of $T^d(X)$ for $n \ge d$, then we say that T has topological uniform descent for n > d (see [3, Definition 2.5]). For a discussion of operator ranges and their topologies, the reader is referred to [1] or [2].

Lemma. Suppose that $T \in \mathscr{L}(X)$, T^2 has closed range, and dim $T(X) \cap$ $N(T) < \infty$. Then:

- (a) There exists an integer $d \ge 0$ such that T has uniform descent for n > d.
- (b) T has topological uniform descent for $n \ge d$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)$ is closed.
- (c) $T(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)$. (d) If $S \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ is invertible, TS = ST, and ||S|| is sufficiently small, then T - S has closed range.

Proof. (a) Since

$$N(T) \cap T^{n+1}(X) \subseteq N(T) \cap T^n(X) \subseteq N(T) \cap T(X)$$
 for $n \ge 0$

and dim $(N(T) \cap T(X)) < \infty$, the result follows.

(b) Invoke [3, Lemma 2.4]. The hypotheses of this lemma are satisfied because of [3, Lemma 2.3].

(c) Use (b) and [3, Theorem 3.4(a)].

(d) Put V = T - S. Since T has topological uniform descent for $n \ge d$, V has closed range, by [3, Theorem 4.7(a)]. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) Put $X_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)$, and denote the restriction of T to X_0 by T_0 . Clearly,

$$\alpha(T_0) = \dim\left(N(T) \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)\right) \le \dim(N(T) \cap T(X)) < \infty.$$

Part (c) of the above lemma shows that $T_0(X_0) = X_0$; thus, $\beta(T_0) = 0$. It follows that T_0 is Fredholm with

$$\operatorname{ind}(T_0) = \alpha(T_0) - \beta(T_0) = \alpha(T_0).$$

[4, Satz 82.4] shows that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$T_0 - R$$
 is Fredholm

and

$$\operatorname{ind}(T_0 - R) = \operatorname{ind}(T_0) \text{ for } R \in \mathscr{L}(X_0) \text{ with } ||R|| < \varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, again by [4, Satz 82.4],

$$\alpha(T_0-R) \leq \alpha(T_0), \qquad \beta(T_0-R) \leq \beta(T_0) = 0$$

for $R \in \mathscr{L}(X_0)$ with $||R|| < \varepsilon$. This gives $\beta(T_0 - R) = 0$ and

(*)
$$\alpha(T_0 - R) = \operatorname{ind}(T_0 - R) = \operatorname{ind}(T_0) = \alpha(T_0)$$

for each $R \in \mathscr{L}(X_0)$ such that $||R|| < \varepsilon$.

1238

Now suppose that $S \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ is invertible, TS = ST, and $||S|| < \varepsilon$. Since S commutes with T, we have $S(X_0) \subseteq X_0$. Put $S_0 = S|X_0$; then $S_0 \in \mathscr{L}(X_0)$ and $||S_0|| < \varepsilon$.

Next we show that $N(T-S) = N(T_0 - S_0)$. The inclusion $N(T_0 - S_0) \subseteq N(T-S)$ is clear. Let $x \in N(T-S)$; thus, Tx = Sx. Since TS = ST, we have $T^n x = S^n x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$; therefore, $x = S^{-n}T^n x = T^n(S^{-n}x) \in T^n(X)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and, hence, $x \in X_0$. This proves $N(T-S) = N(T_0 - S_0)$. Therefore, by (*),

$$\alpha(T-S) = \alpha(T_0 - S_0) = \alpha(T_0).$$

To complete the proof of (a), we have to show that T-S has closed range for sufficiently small ||S||. But this follows immediately from part (d) of the above lemma.

(b) follows from [3, Corollary 4.9]. \Box

Remark. Theorem 1 can be proved under the weaker assumption that $T^{-1}(T^2(X)) = T(X) + N(T)$ is closed. T(X) + N(T) being closed is equivalent to $T^2(X)$ is closed in the operator range topology on T(X) (see the proof of [3, Theorem 3.2]), so our results are still true except that $X_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)$ is only known to be closed in the operator range topology on T(X).

For $T \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ we define two *essential spectra*:

$$\sigma_e(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \colon T - \lambda I \text{ is not Fredholm}\}\$$

and

 $\sigma_w(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not a Fredholm operator with } \operatorname{ind}(T - \lambda I) = 0\}.$

Theorem 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, and suppose that $T^2(X)$ is closed and dim $N(T) \cap T(X) < \infty$. Then:

- (a) If 0 is a boundary point of $\sigma_e(T)$, 0 is isolated in $\sigma_e(T)$.
- (b) If 0 is a boundary point of $\sigma_w(T)$, 0 is isolated in $\sigma_w(T)$.

Proof. Since T has topological uniform descent for $n \ge d$ (Lemma, part (b)), we can define

$$\alpha^*(T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \dim N(T^{n+1}) / N(T^n)$$

and

$$\beta^*(T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \dim T^n(X) / T^{n+1}(X) \, .$$

Theorem 4.7 in [3] then says that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is sufficiently small, then $\alpha(T - \lambda I) = \alpha^*(T - \lambda I) = \alpha^*(T)$ and $\beta(T - \lambda I) = \beta^*(T - \lambda I) = \beta^*(T)$. Thus, if any $T - \lambda I$ is semi-Fredholm for small $\lambda \neq 0$, then all are semi-Fredholm with the same index $\alpha^*(T) - \beta^*(T)$. This gives the results. \Box

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks the referee, whose suggestions led to an improvement of the paper.

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

References

- 1. P. A. Fillmore and J. P. Williams, On operator ranges, Adv. Math. 7 (1971), 253-281.
- 2. S. Grabiner, Ranges of products of operators, Canad. J. Math. 26 (1974), 1430-1441.
- 3. ____, Uniform ascent and descent of bounded operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan 34 (1982), 317-337.
- 4. H. Heuser, Funktionalanalysis, 2nd ed., Teubner, Stuttgart, 1986.

Mathematisches Institute I, Universität Karlsruhe, Postfach 6980, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany