

ON UNIONS AND INTERSECTIONS OF SETS OF SYNTHESIS

T. K. MURALEEDHARAN AND K. PARTHASARATHY

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. Local techniques introduced by Saeki and Stegeman are employed to give conditions for unions and finite intersections of S -sets to be S -sets.

Using local techniques we study unions and intersections of sets of spectral synthesis (S -sets). Beyond the well-known fact that the intersection of two S -sets need not be an S -set, there appears to be no known result about intersections. It is not known whether the union of two S -sets is an S -set, but there are some partial results (e.g., Saeki [2] and Warner [6]). We give simple sufficient conditions for finite intersections and (possibly infinite) unions of S -sets to be S -sets.

We generally follow the notation and terminology of Stegeman [4]. $A(G)$ denotes the Fourier algebra of a locally compact abelian group G . Suppose $x \in G$, $f, g \in A(G)$, and I, J are ideals in $A(G)$. We write

- (i) $f =_x g$ if f and g agree in some neighbourhood of x ;
- (ii) $f \in_x I$ if $f =_x g$ for some $g \in I$;
- (iii) $I \subset_x J$ if $f \in_x J$ for every $f \in I$;
- (iv) $I =_x J$ if $I \subset_x J$ and $J \subset_x I$.

For a closed subset E of G , $I(E)$ and $J(E)$ denote the largest and smallest closed ideals, respectively, with hull E . $\Delta(E)$ ("the difference spectrum of E " [3]) is the set of points of nonsynthesis of E ,

$$\Delta(E) = \{x \in G: I(E) \neq_x J(E)\},$$

so that E is an S -set if and only if $\Delta(E)$ is empty. $\Delta(E)$ is a subset of ∂E , the boundary of E . It was used by Saeki [2] and was later systematically exploited in [4], with different notations. We also make frequent use of the following result proved by Stegeman [4].

Theorem A (Stegeman). *If E is a closed subset of G such that $\Delta(E) \subset C \subset E$ for some C -set C , then E is an S -set.*

The basic idea of our approach is to get suitable relations between the difference spectra of the sets involved and their unions and intersections.

Received by the editors October 30, 1992 and, in revised form, July 27, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A45, 43A46.

Key words and phrases. S -set, C -set, difference spectrum.

The first author is a CSIR Research Fellow.

1. **Lemma.** Let E_1, E_2 be closed subsets of G . Then

- (i) $\Delta(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \Delta(E_1) \cup \Delta(E_2) \cup (\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2)$,
- (ii) $\Delta(E_1) \cup \Delta(E_2) \subset \Delta(E_1 \cup E_2) \cup (E_1 \cap E_2)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Delta(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \partial(E_1 \cap E_2)$. Suppose $x \notin \partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2$. Since $\partial(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \partial E_1 \cup \partial E_2$, as is easily checked, we have $x \in \partial E_1$ or $x \in \partial E_2$, but not both. We prove that $x \in \Delta(E_i)$ if $x \in \partial E_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Suppose $x \in \partial E_1$. Now $x \notin \partial E_2$ and $x \in E_2$ imply that there is a neighbourhood V of x with $V \subset E_2$. Choose $k \in A(G)$ with $k = 1$ in a neighbourhood of x and $\text{supp } k \subset V$. Since $x \in \Delta(E_1 \cap E_2)$, there is an $f \in I(E_1 \cap E_2)$ such that $f \notin_x J(E_1 \cap E_2)$. Then $fk \in I(E_1)$. If $x \notin \Delta(E_1)$, then $fk \in_x J(E_1)$, so $f =_x fk \in J(E_1) \subset J(E_1 \cap E_2)$, a contradiction.

Thus $x \in \partial E_1$ implies $x \in \Delta(E_1)$. Similarly, if $x \in \partial E_2$, then $x \in \Delta(E_2)$ and (i) is proved.

The proof of (ii) is similar but simpler: one proves that if $x \in \Delta(E_1)$ and $x \notin E_2$, then $x \in \Delta(E_1 \cup E_2)$.

Our first application of Lemma 1 is the following result on intersections. It shows, for instance, that an annulus is an S -set.

2. **Theorem.** Let E_1, E_2 be S -sets. If there is a C -set C such that $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \subset C \subset E_1 \cap E_2$, then $E_1 \cap E_2$ is an S -set. In particular, the conclusion holds if $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof. By (i) of Lemma 1

$$\Delta(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \subset C \subset E_1 \cap E_2,$$

so the assertion is a consequence of Theorem A.

3. **Remark.** If E_1, E_2, E_3 are S -sets with $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \cap \partial E_3 = \emptyset$, it is not necessary that $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3$ is an S -set. Take, for example, $E_1 = G = \mathbb{R}^3$, $E_2 =$ the closed unit ball, and $E_3 =$ the complement of the open unit ball. For a finite collection $\{E_i\}$ of S -sets, the correct version is that if $\partial E_i \cap \partial E_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, then $\bigcap E_i$ is an S -set. This again is no longer true for infinite collections: take $E_1 =$ the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 and $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3: \|x\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}$, $n > 1$.

We now come to results on unions. We first mention the following result of Saeki [2].

4. **Theorem (Saeki).** If E_1, E_2 are S -sets and if there is a C -set C such that

$$\partial(E_1) \cap \partial(E_2) \cap \partial(E_1 \cup E_2) \subset C \subset E_1 \cup E_2,$$

then $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an S -set.

5. **Remark.** Theorem 4 is also stated as one part of Theorem 4' in Warner [6], the other part being a converse, namely, if $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an S -set and if there is a C -set C satisfying the condition mentioned in Theorem 4, then E_1 and E_2 are S -sets. However, it is easy to see that this converse statement is false. Just take $E_1 = S^2$ and $E_2 =$ any closed convex set (e.g., a ball) in \mathbb{R}^3 containing E_1 in its interior; then $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 = \emptyset$, $E_1 \cup E_2 = E_2$ is an S -set, but E_1 is not an S -set. The same example shows also that Warner's Lemma 3' [6] is not true. We, however, have the following 'if and only if' result.

6. Theorem. Let E_1, E_2 be closed subsets of G . Suppose there are C -sets C_1, C_2 such that

$$\partial E_1 \cap E_2 \subset C_1 \subset E_1, \quad E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \subset C_2 \subset E_2.$$

Then $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an S -set if and only if E_1 and E_2 are S -sets.

Proof. Since $\Delta(E_i) \subset \partial E_i$, it follows from Lemma 1(ii) that $\Delta(E_1) \subset \Delta(E_1 \cup E_2) \cup (\partial E_1 \cap E_2)$ and similarly for E_2 . Theorem A now gives one half of the result, while the other half is a consequence of Theorem 4 (recalling that the union of two C -sets is a C -set).

It is well known that a closed countable union of C -sets is a C -set. Here is a result for infinite unions of S -sets.

7. Lemma. Let $\{E_i\}$ be a collection of mutually disjoint closed sets in G . Suppose that, for each j , $\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i$ is closed. Then

- (i) $\Delta(\bigcup E_i) \subset \bigcup \Delta(E_i)$,
- (ii) $\Delta(E_j) \subset \Delta(\bigcup E_i)$ for each j .

Proof. Let $x \in \Delta(\bigcup E_i)$. Then $x \in E_j$ for a unique j . We prove $x \in \Delta(E_j)$. Choose a neighbourhood V of x such that $\overline{V} \cap (\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i) = \emptyset$, and then choose a $k \in A(G)$ such that $k = 1$ near x and $k = 0$ off V . Since $x \in \Delta(\bigcup E_i)$, there is an $f \in I(\bigcup E_i)$ with $f \notin_x J(\bigcup E_i)$.

Assume $x \notin \Delta(E_j)$. Then there is a $g \in J(E_j)$ with $f =_x g$. But $gk \in J(\bigcup E_i)$, so that $f =_x fk =_x gk \in J(\bigcup E_i)$, a contradiction. Hence (i) is proved. The proof of (ii) does not need any new ideas, and we omit it.

8. Theorem. Let $\{E_i\}$ be a collection of mutually disjoint closed sets in G satisfying the condition that $\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i$ is closed for each j . Then $\bigcup E_i$ is an S -set if and only if each E_i is an S -set.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 7.

Using the fact that a closed set which is a countable union of C -sets is a C -set, arguments similar to the above give the following result.

9. Theorem. Let $\{E_i\}$ be a collection of closed subsets of G satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, except for countably many i, j .
- (b) $E_i \cap E_j$ is a C -set for all i, j , $i \neq j$.
- (c) $\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i$ is closed for each j .
- (d) $\bigcup_{i, j, i \neq j} (E_i \cap E_j)$ is closed.

Then $\bigcup E_i$ is an S -set if and only if each E_i is an S -set.

10. Corollary. Let $\{E_i\}$ be a collection of S -sets in G satisfying (a), (b) and (d) of Theorem 9. In place of (c), suppose that $C = \bigcup_j \{\overline{\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i} \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i\}$ is a C -set. Then $\overline{\bigcup E_i}$ is an S -set.

Proof. $F_i = E_i \cup C$ are S -sets satisfying the conditions of Theorem 9 and $\overline{\bigcup E_i} = \bigcup F_i$.

11. Remark. It is easy to construct examples of S -sets using our results, e.g., the Hawaiian ear ring in \mathbb{R}^2 [5, pp. 111–112]. Lemma 4.3.7 of [1], which says

that $(\bigcup E_n) \cup \{0\}$ is an S -set in \mathbb{R} , where each $E_n \subset (\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n})$ is an S -set, can also be deduced from the results given above.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the referee for useful remarks.

REFERENCES

1. C. C. Graham and O. C. McGehee, *Essays in commutative harmonic analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
2. S. Saeki, *Spectral synthesis for Kronecker sets*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **21** (1969), 549–563.
3. D. L. Salinger and J. D. Stegeman, *Difference spectra of ideals for non-metrizable groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **26** (1982), 531–540.
4. J. D. Stegeman, *Some problems on spectral synthesis*, Proceedings, Harmonic Analysis (Iraclion, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 781, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980, pp. 194–203.
5. C. T. C. Wall, *A geometric introduction to topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.
6. C. R. Warner, *A class of spectral sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1976), 99–102.

RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS, MADRAS 600 005, INDIA