

DARBOUX'S LEMMA ONCE MORE

HANS SAMELSON

(Communicated by Christopher Croke)

ABSTRACT. Darboux's lemma states that a closed nondegenerate two-form Ω , defined on an open set in \mathbb{R}^{2n} (or in a $2n$ -dimensional manifold), can locally be given the form $\sum dq_i \wedge dp_i$, in suitable coordinates, traditionally denoted by $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$. There is an elegant proof by J. Moser and A. Weinstein. The author has presented a proof that was extracted from Carathéodory's book on Calculus of Variations. Carathéodory works with a (local) "integral" of Ω , that is, with a one-form α satisfying $d\alpha = \Omega$. It turns out that the proof becomes much more transparent if one works with Ω itself.

As in [3] we start by writing Ω (locally) as $\sum_1^N df_i \wedge dg_i$, with some functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N$, and with $N \geq n$ of course. (For this step we take an integral α of Ω and write it as $\sum_1^N f_i dg_i$.) We now try to reduce N , if it is larger than n .

Since Ω^n is not 0, some n of the terms in the sum for Ω must have nonzero exterior product; the corresponding f 's and g 's can then be taken as coordinates $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ and we can write $\Omega \sum_1^n du_i \wedge v_i + \sum_{n+1}^N df_j \wedge dg_j$. To this situation we apply a standard classical and basic proposition of Hamiltonian transformation theory [5].

Let ω be a closed nondegenerate two-form on an open set in a manifold M^{2n} (with local coordinates x_i when needed), and let H be a "time-dependent Hamiltonian", i.e., a function $H(x, t)$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ (or on a suitable open subset thereof). Write ω_H for the two-form $\omega - dH \wedge dt$ (here ω has been pulled back to $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and t is the standard coordinate on \mathbb{R}).

Proposition. *There exists a (local) diffeomorphism F of $M \times \mathbb{R}$ "over \mathbb{R} ", i.e., of the form $x' = F(x, t)$, $t' = t$ (or, briefly, of the form $x' = F(x, t)$) with inverse $x = G(x', t)$ such that*

$$F^* \omega_H = \omega \quad (\text{and } G^* \omega = \omega_H).$$

One says that " H has been reduced to 0 by F ". As a matter of fact, F is simply the expression for the solutions of the associated "canonical equations" in terms of the initial values for $t = 0$. The proof is a simple computation; we bring it, for completeness, at the end.

Received by the editors July 28, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C15; 58A10; 70H15.

Key words and phrases. Canonical coordinates, Hamiltonian transformation theory.

Support by NSF DMS 91-02078 is acknowledged.

We apply the proposition to Ω above by using $\sum du_i \wedge dv_i$ as ω and $-f_N$ as H . Thus we have functions $u'_i = \phi_i(u_j, v_j, t)$, $v'_i = \psi_i(u_j, v_j, t)$ such that the equation

$$\sum du_i \wedge dv_i + df_N \wedge dt = \sum d\phi_i \wedge d\psi_i$$

holds identically in (u_j, v_j, t) . We now substitute the function g_N , from the expression for Ω , for t in this equation (i.e., we take the pullback of the equation under the embedding of M into $M \times \mathbb{R}$ via $x \mapsto (x, g_N(x))$). Thus $\sum du_i \wedge dv_i + df_N \wedge dg_N$ equals $\sum d\Phi_i \wedge d\Psi_i$, where $\Phi_i(u_j, v_j)$ means $\phi_i(u_j, v_j, g_N(u_j, v_j))$ and similarly for Ψ_i . So $\Omega = \sum du_i \wedge dv_i + \sum_{n+1}^N df_j \wedge dg_j$ equals $\sum_1^n d\Phi_i \wedge d\Psi_i + \sum_{n+1}^{N-1} df_j \wedge dg_j$, and so the number of terms in the expression for Ω has been reduced by 1. Darboux's lemma follows by iteration. \square

Now we prove the proposition. We express the usual canonical differential equations of Hamiltonian theory in the language of exterior forms: A vector field \tilde{X} on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ (or on an open subset thereof) will be called Hamiltonian (to H) if

(a) it is of the form (X, ∂_t) , where ∂_t is the standard vector field \mathbb{R} (thus $\partial_t f = f'$) and where X at any point (x, t) is tangent to $M \times t$, so that X is a "time-dependent vector field" on M ; and

(b) the substitution operator $i_{\tilde{X}}$ nullifies the form $\omega_H = \omega - dH \wedge dt$.

(For any vector field Y the operator i_Y operates on an exterior form π by substituting Y into the first slot to π . It is characterized by three properties: (1) it nullifies functions (i.e., 0-forms); (2) one has $i_Y dh = dh(Y) = Y.h$ for any function h ; (3) it is a (graded) derivation: $i_Y(\lambda \wedge \mu) = i_Y \lambda \wedge \mu + (-1)^{\deg \lambda} \lambda \wedge i_Y \mu$.)

We split the differential dH into its M - and \mathbb{R} -components (defined by restriction to the M - or \mathbb{R} -factor at (x, t)); we write this as $dH = d_M H + H_t dt$. The Hamiltonian condition $i_{\tilde{X}} \omega_H = 0$, i.e., $i_{\tilde{X}} \omega = (i_{\tilde{X}} dH) dt - dH$, means then $i_X \omega = -d_M H$ and $i_{\tilde{X}} dH (= \tilde{X}.H) = H_t$; the second relation can also be written as $X.H = 0$ or $d_M H(X) = 0$ and is a consequence of the first, since ω is skewsymmetric, and so $-d_M H(X) = i_X \omega(X) = \omega(X, X) = 0$. Since ω is nondegenerate, the relation $i_X \omega = -d_M H$ shows that the Hamiltonian field \tilde{X} exists and is unique. For the case $\omega = \sum dp_i \wedge dq_i$ the relation $i_X \omega = -d_M H$ amounts to the canonical equations $\dot{q}_i = H_{p_i}$, $\dot{p}_i = -H_{q_i}$.

We now construct the map F of the proposition: as noted after the proposition, it simply sends each line $x \times \mathbb{R}$ to the trajectory of \tilde{X} through $(x, 0)$. (In particular, we have $x = F(x, 0)$.) This is a diffeomorphism by standard theorems about ordinary differential equations. Clearly the vector fields ∂_t on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ map to \tilde{X} under F . It follows that i_{∂_t} nullifies $F^* \omega_H$.

We write $F^* \omega_H$ as $\omega_0 + \beta \wedge dt$, where ω_0 and β are nullified by ∂_t , i.e., do not involve any dt . The relation $i_{\partial_t} F^* \omega_H = 0$ then says $\beta = 0$; so we have $F^* \omega_H = \omega_0$. Since ω_H is closed, so is ω_0 ; the equation $d\omega_0 = 0$ implies that the t -derivatives of the coefficients a_{ij} of $\omega_0 = \sum a_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$ vanish and that the form ω_0 does not depend on t (for this the domain of definition should be convex in the t -direction and connected). Thus $F^* \omega_H$ is simply a two-form on M , pulled back to $M \times \mathbb{R}$; and finally, since the map F is the identity on the slice $t = 0$, $F^* \omega_H$ equals ω . \square

REFERENCES

1. C. Carathéodory, *Variationsrechnung und partielle Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1935, p. 124; English transl., *Calculus of variations and partial differential equations of first order*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1965, p. 125.
2. J. Moser, *On the volume elements of a manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 286–294.
3. H. Samelson, *On Darboux's lemma*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1978), 126–128.
4. A. Weinstein, *Symplectic structures on Banach manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1040–1041.
5. E. T. Whittaker, *A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies*, Dover, New York, 1944, p. 317.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305
E-mail address: `samelson@gauss.stanford.edu`