

## LINEAR MAPPINGS THAT PRESERVE POTENT OPERATORS

MATJAŽ OMLADIČ AND PETER ŠEMRL

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be a complex Hilbert spaces, while  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(K)$  denote the algebras of all linear bounded operators on  $H$  and  $K$ , respectively. We characterize surjective linear mappings from  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}(K)$  that preserve potent operators in both directions.

The problem of characterizing linear mappings  $\phi$  on the algebra  $M_n$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices which preserve some subsets  $\Gamma$  of  $M_n$  (that is,  $\phi(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$ ) has attracted the attention of many mathematicians in the last few decades [12]. Let us mention here some examples of such subsets: the case when  $\Gamma$  is the set of all singular matrices was considered by Dieudonné [7],  $\Gamma$  is a linear group by Dixon [8], and  $\Gamma$  is the set of all nilpotent matrices by Botta, Pierce, and Watkins [1]. In a recent paper [2], motivated by a problem of characterizing local automorphisms and local derivations of some operator algebras (see, e.g., [11]), Brešar and Šemrl considered the case when  $\Gamma$  is the set of all idempotents in  $M_n$ . The same authors also considered a more general situation [3], namely, they characterized linear transformations preserving potent matrices (recall that a matrix  $A$  is said to be potent if  $A^r = A$  for some integer  $r \geq 2$ ) as well as linear mappings that preserve the set of all  $r$ -potent matrices  $\Pi_r = \{A \in M_n : A^r = A\}$  for some integer  $r \geq 2$ .

In recent years there has also been considerable interest in linear preserver problems on operator algebras over infinite-dimensional spaces [2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 13, 14]. It is the aim of this note to continue this work by studying linear mappings  $\phi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  that preserve potent operators in both directions. Here,  $H$  and  $K$  are nontrivial complex Hilbert spaces, while  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(K)$  denote the algebras of all bounded linear operators on  $H$  and  $K$ , respectively. The main idea is different from the one used in the finite-dimensional case [3]. We also need a stronger assumption on  $\phi$ : it must preserve potent operators in both directions, that is, for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  the operator  $\phi(A)$  is a potent operator if and only if the same is true for  $A$ .

Our proof is based on the following three results.

**Theorem 1** [15]. *Let  $H$  be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Then every operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a sum of five idempotents.*

Received by the editors June 25, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B49.

This work was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Science of Slovenia.

**Theorem 2** [10]. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  be idempotents. Then  $p + q$  is an idempotent if and only if  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(p + q)^n\| < \infty$ .*

**Theorem 3** [4]. *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hilbert spaces, and let  $\phi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective linear mapping. Assume that  $\phi(P) \in \mathcal{B}(K)$  is an idempotent whenever  $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is an idempotent. Then there is a bounded bijective linear operator  $V: H \rightarrow K$  such that either*

- (i)  $\phi(A) = VAV^{-1}$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ; or
- (ii)  $\phi(A) = VA^tV^{-1}$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , where  $A^t$  denotes the transpose of  $A$  relative to a fixed but arbitrary orthonormal basis.

This last result was proved in [4] only for the special case  $H = K$ . Almost the same proof works also in this more general setting.

Throughout the paper, for any vectors  $x, y$  we shall denote the scalar product of these two vectors by  $y^*x$ , while  $xy^*$  will denote the rank-one operator defined by  $(xy^*)z = (y^*z)x$  for every vector  $z$ . Note that every operator of rank one can be written in this form. The operator  $xy^*$  is an idempotent if and only if  $y^*x = 1$ . Now we are ready to prove our result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hilbert spaces, and let  $\phi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a surjective linear mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  the operator  $\phi(A)$  is potent if and only if  $A$  is potent.*
- (ii) *There exists an integer  $r \geq 2$  such that for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  we have  $(\phi(A))^r = \phi(A)$  if and only if  $A^r = A$ .*
- (iii)  *$\phi$  is either of the form  $\phi(A) = cVAV^{-1}$  or  $\phi(A) = cVA^tV^{-1}$ , where  $V: H \rightarrow K$  is a bounded bijective linear operator,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is a root of unity, and  $A^t$  denotes the transpose of  $A$  relative to any basis of  $H$ , fixed in advance.*

*Proof.* It is clear that (iii) implies (i) and (ii). We shall prove the converse implications. Let us first point out a simple observation which will be used later. An operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is  $r$ -potent if and only if there exist nonzero idempotents  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  and pairwise different  $(r-1)$ -roots of unity  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  such that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i P_i$  and  $P_i P_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . In order to see this we denote

$$\lambda_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_m = \exp\left(\frac{2m\pi i}{r-1}\right), \quad m = 1, \dots, r-1.$$

The polynomials  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}$  defined by relations

$$p_i(\lambda) = \prod_{m=0, m \neq i}^{r-1} (\lambda - \lambda_m), \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1,$$

have the greatest common divisor equal to 1, so that there exist polynomials  $q_0, \dots, q_{r-1}$  with the property that  $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} p_i q_i = 1$ . It follows that every  $x$  from  $H$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} x_i$  where  $x_i = p_i(A)q_i(A)x$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ . Clearly, we have that  $x_i \in \text{Ker}(A - \lambda_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ . Thus, we have proved that

$$H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} H_i,$$

where  $H_i = \text{Ker}(A - \lambda_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$ . It is easy to see that the nontrivial among the projections  $P_i$  of  $H$  onto  $H_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$ , associated with the above direct sum, have the desired property.

Assume now that condition (i) is satisfied. Then the mapping  $\phi$  is injective, since the kernel of  $\phi$  is a linear space which consists of potent operators only. This implies that  $\phi$  is actually bijective.

Clearly, operator  $S = \phi(I)$  is potent so that, by above, there exist a positive integer  $k$ , nonzero idempotents  $Q_1, \dots, Q_k \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ , and pairwise different roots of unity  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  such that  $S = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i Q_i$  and  $Q_i Q_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . We claim that  $\sum_{i=1}^k Q_i = I$ . Assume to the contrary that  $Q = I - \sum_{i=1}^k Q_i$  is a nonzero idempotent. Then  $S + cQ$  is a potent operator for every root of unity  $c$ . It follows that  $\phi^{-1}(S + cQ) = I + c\phi^{-1}(Q)$  is a potent operator for every root of unity  $c$ , where  $\phi^{-1}(Q) \neq 0$ . This leads to a contradiction, thus showing that  $\sum_{i=1}^k Q_i = I$ .

Observe that  $P_i = \mu_i \phi^{-1}(Q_i)$  are nonzero potents for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Clearly,  $S - \mu_i Q_i$  and  $S - 2\mu_i Q_i$  are all potent operators. The same must therefore be true for operators  $I - P_i$  and  $I - 2P_i$ , and this implies that  $P_i$  is an idempotent for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Obviously, we have that  $I = \sum_{i=1}^k P_i$ . Moreover,  $\mu_i Q_i + \mu_j Q_j$  is a potent operator if  $i \neq j$ . By the assumption, this implies that  $P_i + P_j$  is a potent operator. Using Theorem 2 we conclude that  $P_i P_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

The algebra  $\mathcal{A}_i = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(H) : P_i A P_i = A\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(H_i)$ , where  $H_i$  denotes the image of the idempotent  $P_i$ . Similarly, for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{B}_i = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(K) : Q_i A Q_i = A\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(K_i)$  with  $K_i = \text{Im } Q_i$ . Fix  $i$  for a while, and let  $P$  be an arbitrary idempotent in  $\mathcal{A}_i$ . If we denote  $T = \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j^{-1} P_j$ , then  $T - \mu_i^{-1} P$  and  $T - 2\mu_i^{-1} P$  are potent operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . It follows that  $I - \mu_i^{-1} \phi(P)$  and  $I - 2\mu_i^{-1} \phi(P)$  are potent operators, which further forces  $\mu_i^{-1} \phi(P)$  to be an idempotent. Since  $\mu_i^{-1} P + \mu_j^{-1} P_j$  is a potent operator for every  $j$  different from  $i$ , the sum of idempotents  $\mu_i^{-1} \phi(P) + Q_j$  is a potent operator, and consequently, by Theorem 2, we have that  $\phi(P) Q_j = Q_j \phi(P) = 0$ , or in other words,  $\phi(P)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . The linear span of all idempotents from  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is the whole algebra  $\mathcal{A}_i$ . One can easily verify this fact in the case that  $H_i$  is finite dimensional, while in the infinite-dimensional case this statement follows from Theorem 1. This implies that  $\phi$  maps  $\mathcal{A}_i$  into  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\phi^{-1}$  maps  $\mathcal{B}_i$  into  $\mathcal{A}_i$ , or in other words, the restriction of  $\phi$  to the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is a bijective mapping from  $\mathcal{A}_i$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Applying Theorem 3 we see, in particular, that  $\mu_i^{-1} \phi$  maps every idempotent of rank one from  $\mathcal{A}_i$  into an idempotent of rank one from  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . This is true, of course, for any index  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Next, we shall prove that  $k = 1$  or, equivalently, that  $\phi(I) = cI$  for some root of unity  $c$ . Assume to the contrary that  $k > 1$ . Let  $xy^* \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $zw^* \in \mathcal{A}_2$  be any idempotents of rank one. Then  $y^*x = w^*z = 1$ , and also,  $(xy^*)(zw^*) = (zw^*)(xy^*) = 0$ , and this implies that  $y^*z = w^*x = 0$ . Let us denote  $\phi(xy^*) = \mu_1 x_1 y_1^*$  and  $\phi(zw^*) = \mu_2 z_1 w_1^*$  to get that by the above  $y_1^* x_1 = w_1^* z_1 = 1$  and  $y_1^* z_1 = w_1^* x_1 = 0$ .

For every complex number  $\lambda$  the operators  $xy^* + \lambda xw^*$  and  $zw^* + \lambda xw^*$

are idempotents. It follows that for every complex number  $\lambda$  there exists an integer  $n_\lambda \geq 2$  such that

$$(x_1 y_1^* + \lambda \phi(x w^*))^{n_\lambda} = x_1 y_1^* + \lambda \phi(x w^*).$$

Clearly, there exists an integer  $n_0 \geq 2$  such that the above relation with  $n_0 = n_\lambda$  holds for infinitely many  $\lambda$ 's, but then this must be fulfilled for every complex  $\lambda$ . Comparing the coefficients at  $\lambda$  we obtain

$$\phi(x w^*) x_1 y_1^* + (n_0 - 2) x_1 y_1^* \phi(x w^*) x_1 y_1^* + x_1 y_1^* \phi(x w^*) = \phi(x w^*).$$

Multiplying this relation from both sides by  $x_1 y_1^*$  we get that  $x_1 y_1^* \phi(x w^*) x_1 y_1^* = 0$ . Putting  $u = \phi(x w^*) x_1$  and  $v = \phi(x w^*)^* y_1$  we finally conclude that

$$\phi(x w^*) = x_1 v^* + u y_1^*.$$

Similarly, we can see that there exist vectors  $u_1, v_1 \in K$  such that

$$\phi(x w^*) = z_1 v_1^* + u_1 w_1^*.$$

Standard arguments show that the last two relations imply existence of complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$\phi(x w^*) = \alpha x_1 w_1^* + \beta z_1 y_1^*.$$

Similarly, there exist complex numbers  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  such that

$$\phi(z y^*) = \gamma x_1 w_1^* + \delta z_1 y_1^*.$$

Let us now introduce operators  $P = (1/2)(x - z)(y - w)^*$  and  $N = (x - z)(y + w)^*$ . It is easy to verify that  $P + \lambda N$  is an idempotent for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . As before, we see that there exists an integer  $n \geq 2$  such that

$$(\phi(P) + \lambda \phi(N))^n = \phi(P) + \lambda \phi(N)$$

for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . The coefficient at  $\lambda^n$  must be zero, and consequently,

$$\phi(N) = \mu_1 x_1 y_1^* - \mu_2 z_1 w_1^* + (\alpha - \gamma) x_1 w_1^* + (\beta - \delta) z_1 y_1^*$$

is a nilpotent operator. The linear span of the set  $\{x_1 y_1^*, z_1 w_1^*, x_1 w_1^*, z_1 y_1^*\}$  is isomorphic to the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices via the isomorphism

$$a_1 x_1 y_1^* + a_2 x_1 w_1^* + a_3 z_1 y_1^* + a_4 z_1 w_1^* \mapsto \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix}.$$

It is now easy to see that the fact that  $\phi(N)$  is nilpotent is in a contradiction with  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ .

Thus, we have proved that  $\phi(I) = cI$  for some root of unity  $c$ . The bijective linear mapping  $\varphi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  defined by  $\varphi(A) = \bar{c}\phi(A)$  preserves potents in both directions. Moreover, we have that  $\varphi(I) = I$ . If  $P$  is an arbitrary idempotent from  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , then  $P, I - P$ , and  $I - 2P$  are potent operators. The same must be true for  $\phi(P), I - \phi(P)$ , and  $I - 2\phi(P)$ . This implies that  $\phi(P)$  is an idempotent. An application of Theorem 3 now concludes the proof of the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

Assume now that there exists an integer  $r \geq 2$  such that  $\phi$  preserves  $r$ -potent operators in both directions. As before we see that  $\phi$  must be injective. Let  $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be idempotents such that  $PQ = QP = 0$ . The same proof

as in the finite-dimensional case [3] shows that  $\phi(P)\phi(Q) = \phi(Q)\phi(P) = 0$ . Moreover, we have  $\phi(P)^r = \phi(P)$  and  $\phi(Q)^r = \phi(Q)$ , and consequently,

$$\phi((\lambda P + \mu Q)^r) = \lambda^r \phi(P) + \mu^r \phi(Q) = (\phi(\lambda P + \mu Q))^r$$

for arbitrary  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $P$  be an idempotent from  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Then we have for every complex number  $\lambda$  that

$$\phi((P + \lambda I)^r) = \phi(((1 + \lambda)P + \lambda(I - P))^r) = (\phi(P) + \lambda\phi(I))^r.$$

Comparing the coefficients at  $\lambda^{r-1}$  we obtain that

$$r\phi(P) = \phi(P)S^{r-1} + S\phi(P)S^{r-2} + \dots + S^{r-1}\phi(P),$$

where  $S = \phi(I)$ . Multiplying this relation first from the left by  $S$ , then from the right by  $S$ , and using  $S^r = S$  we get that  $\phi(P)S = S\phi(P)$ . Theorem 1 and surjectivity of  $\phi$  imply that  $S$  belongs to the center of  $\mathcal{B}(K)$ . Applying  $S^r = S$  once again, we conclude that  $\phi(I) = cI$  for some  $(r - 1)$ -root of unity.

The bijective linear mapping  $\varphi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  given by  $\varphi(A) = \bar{c}\phi(A)$  preserves  $r$ -potent operators in both directions and satisfies  $\varphi(I) = I$ . We shall conclude the proof of implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) and therefore the theorem by showing that it preserves idempotents. Let  $P$  be an idempotent operator on  $H$ . Then we have  $\varphi(P)^r = \varphi(P)$  and  $(I - \varphi(P))^r = I - \varphi(P)$ . Assume that  $\sigma(\varphi(P))$  contains a complex number  $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$ . From  $|\lambda| = |1 - \lambda| = 1$  it follows that either  $\lambda$  or  $\bar{\lambda}$  is equal to  $\exp((1/3)\pi i)$ , which is in contradiction with  $\varphi(P)^r = \varphi(P)$  in the case that 6 is not a divisor of  $r - 1$ . In the case that 6 divides  $r - 1$  one can easily verify that  $I + \exp((2/3)\pi i)P$  and  $I + \exp((4/3)\pi i)P$  are  $r$ -potent operators. The same must be true for their  $\varphi$ -images. It follows that  $\sigma(\varphi(P)) \subset \{0, 1\}$ , or in other words,  $\varphi(P)$  is an idempotent. This completes the proof.

### REFERENCES

1. P. Botta, S. Pierce, and W. Watkins, *Linear transformations that preserve the nilpotent matrices*, Pacific J. Math. **104** (1983), 39–46.
2. M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, *Mappings which preserve idempotents, local automorphisms, and local derivations*, Canad. J. Math. **45** (1993), 483–496.
3. ———, *Linear transformations preserving potent matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), 81–86.
4. ———, *On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents*, preprint.
5. M. D. Choi, D. Hadwin, E. Nordgren, H. Radjavi, and P. Rosenthal, *On positive linear maps preserving invertibility*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), 462–469.
6. M. D. Choi, A. A. Jafarian, and H. Radjavi, *Linear maps preserving commutativity*, Linear Algebra Appl. **87** (1987), 227–241.
7. J. Dieudonné, *Sur une généralisation du groupe orthogonal à quatre variables*, Arch. Math. **1** (1948), 282–287.
8. J. Dixon, *Rigid embeddings of simple groups in the general linear group*, Canad. J. Math. **29** (1977), 384–391.
9. A. A. Jafarian and A. R. Sourour, *Spectrum-preserving linear maps*, J. Funct. Anal. **66** (1986), 255–261.
10. N. J. Kalton, *Sums of idempotents in Banach algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull. **31** (1988), 448–451.

11. D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$* , Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 51, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 187–194.
12. C. K. Li and N. K. Tsing, *Linear preserver problems: A brief introduction and some special techniques*, Linear Algebra Appl. **162–164** (1992), 217–235.
13. M. Omladič, *On operators preserving commutativity*, J. Funct. Anal. **66** (1986), 105–122.
14. ———, *On operators preserving numerical range*, Linear Algebra Appl. **134** (1990), 31–51.
15. C. Pearcy and D. Topping, *Sums of small numbers of idempotents*, Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 453–465.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, 61000 LJUBLJANA,  
SLOVENIA

*E-mail address:* `matjaz.omladic@uni-lj.si`

*E-mail address:* `peter.semrl@uni-lj.si`